Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/030,868	KORCHEV ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley	1651	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>after final</u>		
(1) <u>Susan Hanley</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Doran Pace</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 March 2005	Time: <u>4:00</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	nt's representative)		
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 18-33, 35-50, and 52-54			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ex. Hanley informed Mr. Pace that the arguments filed 2/16/05 overcome the outstanding rejections. Mr. Pace agreed to to an Examiner's amendment that reflects the need for the electrodes to be incontact with an electrolytic solution.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	